

### REMARKS

This application has been carefully reviewed in light of the above Office Action.

The indication of allowable subject matter in each of claims 5, 8, 15 and 18 is the reason applicant has basically written these claims in independent form.

Claim 21 was an independent claim and was considered allowed together with claim 22. A minor correction has been made to claim 21 by adding - and- - between "coplanar" and "adapted" in the last phrase thereof.

Claims 1, 4, and 11-14 as now amended, are believed to be not anticipated by Hansen '269 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b).

Claim 1 now calls for, inter alia,

"A roof vent adapted to be mounted to a flat surface of a roof... an elongated subtending front wall member, said front wall member including a lower elongate edge portion extending substantially the complete width of said front wall member, said hood member including a rear flange, each said side wall member having a lower flange, said flanges being coplanar and adapted to lie against and be affixed on a flat surface of a roof.",

which are not shown or suggested by Hansen.

Similarly claim 4 depends on claim 1 and recites additional structure.

Claim 11 recites, inter alia,

"A roof vent adapted to be mounted to a flat surface of a roof... said hood member having at least three flanges extending laterally on respective opposite sides thereof and rearwardly, said three flanges being connected to each other and forming a plane adapted to be affixed on a flat surface of a roof."

Claims 12-14 ultimately depend on claim 11 and further set forth structural limitations not found in Hansen.

As previously explained above claims 5, 8, 15 and 18 should remain allowable as well as claims 21 and 22.

Claims 23-28, all dependent, have been added in lieu of now cancelled dependent claims 9, 10, 16, 17, 19 and 20 and thus no additional fees are submitted herewith for these claims. Claim 23 is somewhat patterned after claim 5 (original), and

is dependent on claim 1 (currently amended), and should be found to be allowable for the same reasons as claim 1 and for the structure recited in claim 5.

Claims 24, 25 and 26 are somewhat patterned after claims 15, 16 and 18 and should be found allowable for the same reasons as claims 11-13 and by the structural limitations recited in these claims.

Claims 27 and 28 depend on Claim 21 and should be found to be allowable.

All the claims as herein presented are believed to appropriately define applicant's invention over the prior art of record.

A check in the amount of \$800.00 is enclosed in payment of the added fee for making four dependent claims into independent claims.

A telephone interview is respectfully requested to resolve any remaining issue prior to any final action on the merits of the claims herein and to consider any recommended changes of the Examiner.

Respectfully submitted,

  
Arthur G. Yeager, Reg. No. 19,892

245-1 East Adams Street  
Jacksonville, FL 32202-3365  
Tel: (904) 355-9631  
Fax: (904) 355-9632

Date: Nov. 25, 2005